

guard, to commit the injuries contemplated by the bill, confining their depredations to the land side of the line which your amendment proposes, to draw parallel with the rail road and canal. Under these impressions, we return you the bill, in great deference, and respectfully request you to reconsider the same, recede from your amendment, and pass the bill.

By order:

L. Gassaway, clerk.

On motion by Mr Steele, (seconded by two other members, who voted with him in the majority on the question that resulted in the passage by this house of said bill, with the proposed amendment,) the house agreed to reconsider its vote on that question.

The said bill, with the proposed amendment, was again read and considered.

The question was stated,

"Will the house recede from its proposed amendment to said bill?"

And, after some debate thereon,

Mr Orrell called for the previous question; and, being demanded by a majority of the members present,

The said previous question was then put, to wit:

"Shall the main question be now put?"

And resolved in the affirmative.

The said main question was then put, viz:

"Will the house recede from said amendment?"

And determined in the negative.

The bill was then again passed with the proposed amendment; when,

On motion by Mr Buskirk, the following message was twice read, agreed to, and with the said bill to which it has relation, sent to the Senate, viz:

By the House of Delegates,
March 1st, 1830.

Gentlemen of the Senate:

We have received your message, accompanying the bill, for the punishment of certain evil practices, requesting this house to recede from the amendment proposed by this house. As it is considered, that in most counties of this state, legislation on the subject of that bill is unnecessary and inexpedient, we cannot consent to recede from the amendment proposed, which confines the operation of the law to the particular grievances which, it was understood, were to be remedied by the bill in question.